# PROGRAM AREA 15: JUVENILE COURTS AND PROBATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES

PA	Түре	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
15	OP		Number and percent of eligible youth served using graduated sanctions approaches*	Improve program activities	An unduplicated count of the number of youth served using a graduated sanctions approach by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth served during any part of the reporting period using a graduated sanctions approach. To calculate the percentage, divide the number above by the total number of youth served during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred data source.	a. Number of youth admitted to graduated sanctions program b. Number of youth admitted into any grantee program c. Percent (a/b)
15	OP		Number of different accountability programs in operation	Increase organizational capacity	Measure of program implementation.  Appropriate for grantees that administer more than one accountability program. Report the maximum number of different accountability programs in operation simultaneously.  Different implies that the programs either employ different techniques or activities, target different populations, or have different goals	Number of different accountability programs in operation
15	ОР		2. Number of types of accountability programs	Improve program quality	Determine program scope. Appropriate for programs that offer accountability programming. Report the raw number of types of accountability offered. Include both service types directly delivered by the program and service types that youth have access to through the program.	Number of types of accountability programs in operation
15	OP		3. Amount of funds allocated to accountability programming	Increase organizational capacity	Determine the distribution of the money. Appropriate for any project paying for accountability programming. Report the raw dollar amount of JABG funds spent on accountability programming.	Number of dollars spent on <u>accountability</u> <u>programming</u>
15	OP		4. Number and percent of court/probation units with accountability programs in place	Increase organizational capacity	Determine coverage of the graduated sanctions approach within court and probation departments. Most appropriate for projects run through local units of government. Count would be the raw number of courts or probation departments that are implementing or in the process of implementing an accountability program (in the process includes things like training staff on accountability, developing policies on the use of accountability principles, or developing sub-contracts with service providers in anticipation of the program). Percent is the raw number divided by the number of cast/probation units in operation.	a. Number of units with accountability programming in operation b. Number of units c. Percent (a/b)
15	OP		5. Number of accountability program slots	Increase organizational capacity	Determine program scope. Appropriate for programs that offer accountability programming. Report the raw number of accountability programming. Report the raw number of accountability programming slots that the program has at any one time. Include both services directly delivered by the program and services that youth have access to through the program. For example, if a program can process victim impact statements for 5 juvenile offenders and serve 25 youth through a victim empathy class, the number of slots would be 30.	Number of accountability slots
15	S-T OC		Number and percent of program youth completing program requirements*	Increase accountability	The number and percent of program youth who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet prior to program completion. Program records are the preferred data source.	a. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements b. Number of youth who left the program c. Percent (a/b)

# PROGRAM AREA 15: JUVENILE COURTS AND PROBATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES

PA	Түре	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
15	S-T OC		6. Number and percent of cases for which accountability options are used as part of the court/probation process	Improve program activities	Measure of system accountability. Appropriate for grantees with operational accountability programs. Report the raw number of case dispositions that include accountability programming. Percent is the raw number divided by the number of case dispositions. Include diversion, formal adjudications, warrant hearings, and all other methods of resolving cases against juvenile offenders.	a. Number of <u>case</u> <u>dispositions</u> that include <u>accountability</u> programming b. Number of <u>case</u> <u>dispositions</u> c. Percent (a/b)
15	S-T OC		7. Number and percent of cases for which the judge has complete youth case files prior to sentencing	Improve program quality	Measure of system accountability. Appropriate for court programs. Report the raw number of case files that have all of the information the judge needs to sentence a youth (e.g., needs assessments, victim impact statements, juvenile justice history). If there are no formal requirements, determine a minimum criteria for a compete file and use those criteria as the requirement.	a. Number of cases for which judges have complete assessment data prior to sentencing b. Number of cases sentenced c. Percent (a/b)
15	S-T OC		8. Number and percent of youth that through the court or probation system participate in accountability programming	Improve program activities	Measure of system accountability. Appropriate for entities that use <u>accountability programming</u> (whether they actually deliver it themselves or not). Report the raw number of youth to participate in <u>accountability programming</u> . Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of youth processed by the grantee.	a. Number of youth to participate in accountability programming b. Number of youth processed c. Percent (a/b)
15	S-T OC		9. Number of different accountability sanctioning options available	Increase organizational capacity	Determine coverage of the accountability approach. Most appropriate for grantees implementing or referring youth to accountability programming. Report raw number of different accountability sanctions available to youth. Different implies that the programs either employ different techniques or activities, target different populations, or have different goals.	Number of different sanctions available to youth
15	S-T OC		10. Number and percent of juvenile justice offenses for which accountability programs are an option	Improve program quality	Determine coverage of the accountability approach. Most appropriate for programs that refer youth to accountability programs. Report the number of juvenile justice offenses (criminal, statutory, or civil) for which accountability programming may be considered as an option. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of offenses on the books.	a. Number of offenses for which accountability programming is an option b. Number of offenses on the books c. Percent (a/b)
15	S-T OC		11. Average number of youth per probation officer	Improve program quality	Measure of infrastructure. Appropriate for programs that have <u>probation officers</u> . Report the number of open cases divided by the number of probation officers.	a. Number of open cases b. Number of probation officers c. Average number per officer (a/b)
15	S-T OC		12. Average number of <u>supervision</u> meetings per youth per month	Improve program activities	Determine whether accountability programs are being used as intended with the frequent use of supervision meetings. This measures system accountability. Appropriate for all programs implementing accountability programs. Report the total number of supervision meetings held with youth in the preceding month divided by the number of youth served through accountability programs during that month. Meetings are not limited to face-to-face contact but may include other forms of contact with youth such as telephone calls.	a. Number of supervision meetings in preceding month b. Number of youth served in preceding month c. Average number of meetings (a/b)

# PROGRAM AREA 15: JUVENILE COURTS AND PROBATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES

PA	Түре	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
15	S-T OC		13. Number and percent of non-compliance events (e.g., missed court dates, positive drug tests)	Increase accountability	To determine if youth are acting more accountably as indicated by their fulfillment of their program requirements. Report the raw number of times youth did not do things they specifically had agreed to do in their behavioral contracts or according to their sanctions schedule or did things they specifically agreed not to do. Percent would be the raw number divided by the total number of things the youth were expected to do (or not to do). For example, if a youth was supposed to attend school every day, each unexcused day missed would be a non-compliant event. Percent would be the number of school days missed divided by the total number of days school was in session during the reporting period.	a. Number of non-compliance events b. Number of youth requirements c. Percent (a/b)
15	S-T OC		14. Number and percent of probation contacts that are proactive	Improve program activities	Measure of system accountability. Appropriate for programs that staff <u>probation officers</u> . Report the raw number of probation contacts with clients that were not specifically required by law (e.g., not based on a court date or based on a youth committing an <u>infraction</u> ). Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of probation contacts with youth.	a. Number of proactive probation contacts b. Number of probation contacts c. Percent (a/b)
15	S-T OC		15. Number and percent of youth to have a <u>behavioral</u> contract developed at <u>intake</u>	Improve program quality	Measure of system accountability. Appropriate for grantees with operational accountability programs. Report the raw number of you to have a behavioral contract developed at intake. Percent is the raw number divided by the number of youth to go through intake.	a. Number of youth with a behavioral contract at intake b. Number of youth to go through intake c. Percent (a/b)
15	S-T OC		16. Average time in hours from infraction to sanction	Improve program activities	To determine if the program is becoming more efficient. It is based on the idea that accountability programs must be applied swiftly. Appropriate for any program implementing an accountability program. Applies to youth's infractions while in the accountability program funded with JABG funds. Report the average number of days from infraction by youth according to their behavioral contracts to the infraction being addressed with a sanction.	Average number of hours from infraction to sanction
15	I-T OC		17. Number and percent of modifications that resulted in more restrictive release conditions	Improve program activities	Measure of youth accountability. Appropriate for grantees that can modify a youth's conditions of release or probation requirements. Report the raw number of times that modifications include more restrictive conditions on youth (e.g., moving from monthly drug testing to weekly). Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of modifications to conditions of release.	a. Number of times modifications were for more strict sanctions b. Number of modifications to release conditions c. Percent (a/b)
15	I-T OC		18. Number and percent of youth to have revocation hearings	Increase accountability	Measure of youth accountability. Appropriate for grantees that can revoke a youth's release or probation. Report the raw number of youth to have revocation hearings. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of youth in the program	a. Number of youth to have revocation hearings b. Number of youth in the program c. Percent (a/b)
15	I-T OC		19. Number and percent of youth to complete their justice requirements successfully	Increase accountability	To determine if youth are acting more accountably as indicated by their fulfillment of their program requirements. Report the raw number of youth to complete the program successfully. Percent would be the raw number divided by the total number of youth served.	a. Number of youth to successfully complete program requirements b. Number of youth served c. Percent (a/b)

### **PROGRAM AREA 15: JUVENILE COURTS AND PROBATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

PA	ТүрЕ	#	MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
15	L-T OC		Number and percent of program youth who reoffend	Reduce delinquency	The number and percent of program youth who were rearrested or seen at juvenile court for a new delinquent offense. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.	<ul> <li>a. Number of youth with a new offense</li> <li>b. Number of youth in program</li> <li>c. Percent (a/b)</li> </ul>

### JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY BLOCK GRANTS PERFORMANCE MEASURE KEY

Short Term:

Occurs during or by the end of the program.

Occurs once program enters maintenance phase (applies only to system improvement programs)

Occurs 6 months to 1 year after program completion/or program enters maintenance phase. Intermediate term: Long Term:

Bold:

Mandatory measure.
Mandatory for direct service programs only. Bold\*: Bold\*\*: Mandatory for system change programs only.

OP: Output

S-T OC: Short-Term Outcome I-T OC: Intermediate-Term Outcome L-T OC: Long-Term Outcome